

AGP

The Anti-Globalist Party of Australia

Policy Manifesto
Draft v0.05
31st August 2024

Introduction.....	1
Policy Brief.....	1
Definitions.....	2
Policy Rules.....	2
Policy Justification.....	2
1. Globalist Profiteers Tax.....	2
2. Tariff protection.....	3
3. End wage suppression.....	4
4. International Reciprocity.....	5
5. Housing.....	5
6. Direct Democracy.....	6
7. Citizenship.....	7
8. End forever wars.....	8
FAQ.....	8

Introduction

We are a party that stands against globalism!

We transcend traditional labels of left, center, and right, welcoming all Australians who are committed to our nation's welfare, regardless of their political leanings.

While we respect diverse political viewpoints, we firmly oppose the influence of globalists who push policies that serve their interests at the expense of the Australian people.

Policy Brief

1. Globalist Profiteers Tax: Tax those Globalists that advocate for; and have profited from high population growth to pay the costs of that growth.

2. Tariff protection: Lower personal & corporate tax rate to 10%, increase import tariffs to replace lost taxation income, compensate any Australian that is financially harmed by the tariff increase.
3. End wage suppression: End globalist sponsored targeted and general wage suppression.
4. International Reciprocity: Treat foreign countries with the same trade laws as they treat us.
5. Housing: Remove land tax on investment properties, leave negative-gearing tax breaks for individuals in place.
6. Direct Democracy: Citizens initiated referenda or plebiscites.
7. Citizenship: Cap Australian Citizenship at 25 million citizens.
8. End forever wars: Limit funding of any foreign conflict to no more than 12 months.

Definitions

1. **Globalists**: Any globalist company(s), globalist organization(s) or wealthy individual(s) that work to benefit their global empires rather than Australians.
2. **Australian(s)**: Citizen(s) of Australia.

Policy Rules

1. A policy must be of benefit to:
 - a. the median **Australian**, and
 - b. the majority of **Australians**.
2. A policy must not make Australia a less egalitarian society.
3. A policy must disadvantage specific **Globalists**.
4. A policy must not advantage any **Globalists**.
5. A policy should not be a policy of most major political parties.
6. A policy should be significant & should affect the lives of most Australians.
7. A policy must not discriminate based on race, religion or gender. Nor shall race, religion or gender form the basis of, or be part of the justification of any policy.

Policy Justification

1. Globalist Profiteers Tax

Tax those Globalists that advocate for; and have profited from high population growth to pay the cost of that growth.

Many globalists push for rapid population growth in Australia because it boosts their profits while they avoid the associated costs. By investing a few million dollars in advocating for high population growth, they can secure billions in extra profits.

However, this growth comes at a significant cost to everyday Australians. High population growth has led to:

- Rising housing and rental prices due to increased competition.
- Stagnant or lower wages as the job market becomes oversaturated.
- Higher-density housing and urban sprawl, diminishing quality of life and environment.
- Increased travel costs and longer commutes due to congestion.
- Strain on essential services like schools, hospitals, and parks.
- Greater environmental impact, including higher demands on food production and water resources.

These challenges contribute to a less egalitarian society, where the top 10%—those with higher incomes and better education—reap the benefits, while lower-wage earners and the less educated bear the brunt.

To address this imbalance, the proposed profiteers tax will target globalist entities profiting from population growth. The revenue generated from this tax will be used to compensate Australians for the financial and social costs associated with increased population.

This tax shall be retroactive & focused on globalist profits over the past 25 years. The goal should be to levy a tax that removes 100% of all profit that have been derived from population growth in the past.

In the future the profiteers tax should claim 100% of any future profits derived from population growth.

2. Tariff protection

Lower personal & corporate tax rate to 10%, increase import tariffs to replace lost taxation income, compensate any Australian that is financially harmed by the tariff increase.

Tariffs began to be progressively removed in Australia in the 1980s. This both lowered tax revenue (from tariffs), and completely destroyed Australia's manufacturing sector. The increased tax burden was then placed on the wage earners & local businesses of Australia.

Without tariff protection there can be no viable manufacturing sector in this country. Without a manufacturing sector, the country is controlled by the globalists.

This policy shifts the burden of taxation from Australian wage earners & local Australian businesses to the globalists that offshored and destroyed our manufacturing sector.

The taxation shift should be designed to be net neutral to all Australians. There would be some initial inflation caused by the change, and Australians harmed should be compensated for that via centrelink and the taxation system (family tax benefit, pension, unemployment benefits etc). Moreover, this policy should be flexible, allowing for tariff-free trade offsets on products manufactured in Australia. For example, if Toyota were to start manufacturing and exporting car engines in Australia, they should be able to offset those exports against their import tariffs on cars for the Australian market. This would encourage companies to bring some of their supply chain manufacturing back to Australia.

This policy would retain the income tax free threshold (\$18,200.00) and apply a flat tax (tariff) to all imported goods and services.

This policy should improve the chance that some manufacturing moves back to Australia, this increase in diverse jobs would be of benefit to all Australians.

This policy harms the globalists that profit from the offshoring of manufacturing. We do not see how this policy would benefit any globalists.

3. End wage suppression

End globalist sponsored targeted and general wage suppression.

When the government mentions 'skills shortages' what they are actually saying is that they want to suppress Australian wages. Often this wage suppression is at the behest of some globalist entity so that they can increase their profits.

There are two types of wage suppression:

1. **Targeted wage suppression:** The government declares a 'skill shortage' in a particular occupation and then provides training and citizenship pathways for visas in that field.
2. **General wage suppression:** The government provides foreigners with work rights and citizenship pathways to suppress all Australian's wages.

The occupations targeted are often those with lower wage scales, such as nurses, childcare workers, and teachers. After gaining citizenship, foreign graduates often move to better-paying jobs, perpetuating the cycle. The so-called 'skills shortage' only truly disappears with an increase in wages.

Wage suppression disproportionately affects lower-paid Australians, widening the income gap and leading to a less egalitarian society. Both forms of wage suppression ultimately result in lower wages for all Australians, as the impact filters through to every occupation.

Our policy proposes to:

1. End work rights for student visas.

2. End 'path to citizenship' student visas.
3. End 'path to citizenship' work visas.
4. End targeted work visas for any occupation below the average Australian income.

4. International Reciprocity

Treat foreign countries with the same trade laws as they treat us.

Many globalists that are foreign owned have foreign laws that protect them in their homeland, those same laws do not apply to them in Australia, thus they operate with an unfair competitive advantage over Australians and Australian business.

To ensure fairness, we propose a policy of reciprocity based on the principle of equal treatment. Here are some examples using the fictitious country "Alderaan":

- If Alderaan imposes a 250% tariff on Australian exports of donuts, our policy would apply the same 250% tariff on donut imports from Alderaan.
- If it is illegal for an Australian company to purchase an ISP (Internet Service Provider) in Alderaan, our policy would make it equally illegal for an Alderaan-owned company to buy an ISP in Australia.
- If Australian banks are prohibited from operating in Alderaan, our policy would prohibit Alderaan-owned banks from operating in Australia.
- If Australians are not allowed to buy property in Alderaan, our policy would ban property purchases in Australia by Alderaanians.
- If Australian email providers are inaccessible in Alderaan, our policy would block access to Alderaan-based email providers in Australia.
- If it costs \$100 to send a package from Australia to Alderaan, our policy would ensure that it costs \$100 to send the same package from Alderaan to Australia.
- If an Australian VISA to Alderaan costs \$500, our policy would set the cost for an Alderaanian VISA to Australia at \$500.

If this policy results in any harm to Australians, they should be compensated accordingly.

This policy promotes a level playing field, which inherently challenges the interests of globalist corporations. It benefits all Australians by allowing them to compete on equal terms.

5. Housing

Remove land tax on investment properties, leave negative-gearing tax breaks for individuals in place.

In many countries, the housing rental market is dominated by a few large globalist corporations. These entities typically invest in "build-to-rent" high-density apartment complexes that lack personal green spaces like backyards.

In contrast, most rental properties in Australia are owned by 'Ma & Pa' investors—Australians who own one or two investment properties as a way to build and preserve wealth. These properties often include decent-sized homes with backyards, which benefits renters by providing more space for children, pets, hobbies, and a better overall living environment.

The key difference between Australia and other countries lies in Australia's land tax and negative gearing system.

Perversely, globalist corporations are advocating for higher land tax rates. They do this because increased land taxes make high-density, "build-to-rent" apartments more competitive compared to free-standing homes. In Victoria, land tax rates have risen so much in recent years that 'Ma & Pa' investors are finding it increasingly difficult to see free-standing homes as viable rental investments, leading them to exit the market. This has resulted in higher rental costs and a reduced availability of free-standing homes for rent. Meanwhile, globalist companies are capitalizing on this by constructing high-density apartments across Melbourne and, to a lesser extent, other Australian capitals.

Negative gearing also plays a crucial role by providing tax breaks to 'Ma & Pa' investors with a small number of rental properties. Large global companies, and those with many properties, cannot significantly benefit from negative gearing, making them less competitive than smaller investors. Additionally, negative gearing generally helps keep rental prices lower.

This particular battle is yet to be won by the globalists, but they are on a path to winning.

If land tax is removed and negative gearing is retained, most rental properties in the future should continue to be owned by 'Ma & Pa' Australians, offering a mix of free-standing homes and apartments.

However, if land tax increases and negative gearing is eliminated, the rental market would likely be dominated by a few large globalist companies, consisting almost entirely of high-density apartments. Free-standing rental homes would become scarce, and rental costs would rise due to higher land taxes and the removal of negative gearing.

This policy aims to protect both renters (by keeping rental costs lower and ensuring access to free-standing homes) and small Australian investors who own a few rental properties.

This policy stops the globalists from establishing control of the rental market. We do not see how this policy benefits globalists.

6. Direct Democracy

Citizens initiated referenda or plebiscites.

Often, the major political parties collaborate with globalists to implement policies that the majority of Australians do not support. This undermines democracy, as citizens are left without a meaningful choice on these issues at the ballot box.

Giving Australians the opportunity to vote on significant policy issues would solve this issue. This could be done via referendum or plebiscite.

Citizens initiated referendums would require a change in the Australian constitution, which is difficult to achieve.

However, citizens initiated plebiscite framework could be legislated as an act of parliament. While not binding on the parliament, plebiscites should be able to show the will of the people and direct the parliament on contentious issues.

Our policy is that whenever a federal election is called, there should be up to 3 issues raised as a question at the same time. These issues should be selected based on signed petition counts by Australians.

This policy would benefit all Australians by giving them a new democratic option.

This policy would harm globalists who collude with the major political parties to push unpopular policies on the people of Australia.

7. Citizenship

Cap Australian Citizenship at 25 million citizens.

The total Australian population is currently about 27 million, that number includes about 3.5 million residents of Australia that are on some form of visa.

We estimate, as of 1st July 2024, that the number of Australian citizens is about 23.5 million.

The birth rate of Australians is below replacement rate. Without immigration, over the long term, our population would decline.

This policy would only allow for Australian citizens to be created by naturalization only if the number of Australian citizens is below 25 million.

The government of the day would still be able to bring in an unlimited number of tourists, students, refugees and workers on various visas, however these visas would be all temporary.

Globalists wish to increase the permanent population so as to increase their profits. They do not care about any of the effects of that increase on the environment or the living conditions of Australians.

This policy (over time) would largely stabilize the total population while still giving flexibility to the government of the day.

We believe this policy is in the best interests of all Australians.

We believe this policy is not in the best interests of Globalists.

We see no Globalists supporting this policy.

8. End forever wars

Limit funding of any foreign conflict to no more than 12 months.

There are military globalists that profit from war. They do not care that their policies kill the little people of those nations at war. The goal of the military globalist is to prolong the conflict to maximize profit. They wish to provide just enough arms & funding so that neither side can win and the conflict goes on forever.

Most recently we have seen this in Ukraine. The west could have stayed out of the conflict, which would have ended the war quickly with a victory for Russia. Or the West could have become directly involved and pushed Russia back, ending the war quickly with a victory for Ukraine. Either of these options would have killed far less people than the current policy of providing Ukraine with just enough support to continue the war, but not enough support to win it.

If the war was over quickly, then the military globalists would not be able to profit. So the war in Ukraine continues. The deaths of both Russian and Ukrainian little people does not matter when profit is involved.

We also note that the military globalists profit is paid by the Australian taxpayers.

Our policy would limit Australia's support of foreign military conflicts to no more than 12 months.

We believe this policy is in the best interests of the Australian taxpayer.

We believe this policy would lead to less foreign lives being lost in war.

We believe this policy would not benefit military globalists.

FAQ

1. Q: Do you accept new policies?

A: Yes we do! New policies must obey our policy rules, be clearly justified and be implementable on a federal level. They can be submitted via email to <><>

2. Q: What is your policy on climate change, guns, puberty blockers for minors, etc etc....

A: We are a party of anti-globalists, any policy that we have needs to clearly be an anti globalist policy and obey our policy rules.

We are neither a party of the left, the center or of the right. We respect all Australians who take a political position, regardless of what that political position is.

What we do not respect is globalists who advocate for policy positions that benefit themselves and cause harm to Australians.

Where there is policy debate in the community that does not involve globalists (or that there are globalists on both sides) we choose to not participate. We do this so that people on the left, center & right of politics can vote for us due to our policies being focused only on globalists.

3. Q: By your definition, can Australian citizens be globalists?

A: Yes, if they are wealthy and gained their wealth by advocating for, and profiteering from globalist policies. We would consider "Gerry Harvey" and "Harry Triguboff" to be examples of Australian globalists.

4. Q: If you get a member elected to parliament, and that member needs to vote on an issue not covered in your policy set, how is that handled?

A: We would first try to determine if that issue fell within our policy rules. If it did not, then we would try to trade our vote with the government to get one of our policies implemented. If that negotiation failed, we would vote against the government.